## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO. 22-22538-CIV-RKA

DOMINIK KARNAS, ET AL., .

. Miami, Florida

Plaintiffs,

. May 29, 2024

v. . 3:04 p.m.

MARK CUBAN, ET AL.,

Defendants. .

Transcript of Motion Hearing had before the Honorable Roy K. Altman,
United States District Judge.

- - - - -

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiffs: Joseph M. Kaye, Esq.

Leo A. Wiesinger, Esq. Rejane Passo, Paralegal Adam M. Moskowitz, Esq. The Moskowitz Law Firm

P.O. Box 653409

Miami, Florida 33175

and

Stephen N. Zack, Esq. Tyler E. Ulrich, Esq.

Boies Schiller Flexner LLP

100 SE 2nd Street

Suite 2800

Miami, Florida 33131

and

Brooke A. Alexander, Esq. Boies Schiller Flexner LLP

333 Main Street

Armonk, New York 10504

and

Jose M. Ferrer, Esq.

Desiree E. Fernandez, Esq.

Mark, Migdal & Hayden, P.A.

80 SW 8th Street

Suite 1999

Miami, Florida 33131

and

Howard M. Bushman, Esq.

The Moskowitz Law Firm, PLLC

Two Alhambra Plaza, Suite 601

Coral Gables, FL 33134

## APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For the Defendant Mark Cuban:

Christopher E. Knight, Esq. Alexandra L. Tifford, Esq. Fowler White Burnett, P.A.

1395 Brickell Avenue

14th Floor

Miami, Florida 33131-3302

and

Stephen A. Best, Esq. Rachel O. Wolkinson, Esq. Daniel L. Sachs, Esq.

Brown Rudnick LLP

601 Thirteenth Street NW

Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005

For the Defendant Victor Oladipo:

Barry S. Turner, Esq. Phang & Feldman, P.A.

2 South Biscayne Boulevard

One Biscayne Tower

Suite 1600

Miami, Florida 33131

Court Reporter:

Francine C. Salopek, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

3010 NE 39th Street

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308

(305)301-3276

- - - - -

1	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2024, 3:08 P.M.		
2	(The Judge entered the courtroom)		
3	THE COURT: You ready, Fran?		
4	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.		
5	THE COURT: All right. Calling Karnas vs. Cuban,		
6	22-CV-22538.		
7	Who's here for the plaintiff?		
8	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Adam		
9	Moskowitz, Joey Kaye, and Howard Bushman from the Moskowitz Law		
10	Firm.		
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon.		
12	MR. ULRICH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Tyler		
13	Ulrich, Steve Zack, and on the Zoom, we have Brooke Alexander		
14	from Boies, Schiller & Flexner.		
15	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.		
16	MS. ALEXANDER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.		
17	MR. FERRER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jose Ferrer		
18	and Desiree Fernandez on behalf of the plaintiffs.		
19	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.		
20	And for those of you on Zoom, I don't know if you were		
21	able to see, but I'm late because not because we were just		
22	having a long lunch, we were in a calendar call and a motions		
23	hearing for a trial that's starting on Monday. And I apologize		
24	for that.		
25	Who's here for the defense?		

```
Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon.
 1
              MR. BEST:
 2
     Stephen Best and Rachel Wolkinson from Brown Rudnick on behalf
 3
     of defendant Mavericks.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.
 5
              MR. KNIGHT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
                                                        Christopher
    Knight and Ali Tifford from Fowler White Burnett.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Good afternoon to you all.
                         And I apologize. Dan Sachs is on the
 8
              MR. BEST:
 9
    video.
              MR. SACHS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, sir.
12
              All right. On May the 13th, we stayed this pending
13
     our adjudication of the defendants' motion to dismiss, ECF
    Number 189, and the plaintiffs' motion for class certification,
14
15
    ECF Number 231. We've been working on the motion to dismiss,
16
     and I wanted the parties to clarify a few outstanding issues.
17
              To the plaintiff, the complaint pleads four counts
18
     under New Jersey law on behalf of the "nationwide class" and,
19
     in the alternative, separate counts under Florida, New Jersey,
20
    Virginia, Alabama, Louisiana, California, Connecticut,
21
     Tennessee, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and Massachusetts law on
22
    behalf of each state's respective subclass.
2.3
              So my questions to you are: First, why should
24
    New Jersey law govern the claims of the nationwide class when
25
    only one of the class plaintiffs and none -- neither of the
```

remaining defendants are citizens of New Jersey? 1 2 Who's answering that question? 3 MR. MOSKOWITZ: I will, Your Honor. 4 Voyager was based in New Jersey. The decisions about 5 how they treat the securities were made in New Jersey. And I 6 think our papers set that out, especially our complaint, all 7 the connections to New Jersey, and we believe that the statutory legislative history of the New Jersey statute, which 8 9 we cite in our motion for class certification, says that it is the desire of New Jersey to apply nationwide when the conduct 10 11 emanates from New Jersey. 12 THE COURT: Okay. If we find that New Jersey law 13 doesn't apply, then we'll have before us essentially 11 14 separate class actions all based on different states. Why 15 should those cases be in the Southern District of Florida? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Well, Your Honor, we believe that all 16 17 of the state statutes are generally the same; there are no 18 major distinctions between each of the state's statutes. 19 Including Florida's? THE COURT: 20 MR. MOSKOWITZ: There's some minor distinctions between each of the consumer state statutes and the securities 21 22 state statutes of each state, but there's nothing major. 2.3 There's many cases that we cite which groups them together. 24 But we would, of course, respectfully ask that New Jersey apply 25 to everybody.

1 THE COURT: Does it matter that Voyager isn't a party 2 here? 3 MR. MOSKOWITZ: We don't believe so, not under the 4 The law says where did the conduct itself emanate? And 5 here it's an unregistered security, and everything that is 6 discussed about Voyager happened in New Jersey. That's where 7 the company was based. That's the state securities agency that was initially responsible for investigating what's going on. 8 9 That's the state statute -- I'm sorry -- the state agency that prosecuted Voyager. They're the ones that investigated 10 11 Voyager. Most of the conduct here took place in New Jersey. 12 THE COURT: Even if it's conduct not by a -- by a 13 nonparty to our case? 14 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. 15 And I think if you look at the cases where the New Jersey statute was applied nationwide, the Court focus was 16 17 on the main conduct. And the main conduct here was by Voyager, 18 and just by -- they went bankrupt; that's why they're not a 19 defendant here. It's not for any other reason. You know, we 20 sued Voyager, but then that part of the case was stayed. 21 all of the conduct happened from New Jersey, all of the conduct 22 that is alleged in the motion for class certification. 2.3 THE COURT: I think I know the answer to this last 24 question, but to what extent do the various state securities 25 and consumer protection laws differ in their application by the

respective state courts?

2.3

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I don't think at all, Your Honor. For example, New Jersey and Florida securities statutes, they're just about identical. They're not identical every word, but the phrase which we're looking for, which is an agent of a seller which promotes an unregistered security is strictly liable, that language is the same in Florida and the same in New Jersey. And I haven't found any cases that would interpret that clause that we're looking at any differently for either of those two states.

THE COURT: Your theory of personal jurisdiction is that "the promotions by Cuban and the Mavericks, including myriad social media posts to their millions of followers, nationally televised Mavericks basketball games featuring Voyager promotions, and the televised press conference, were accessible in Florida and were in fact viewed in Florida by Florida residents." That's your response at ECF Number 195, at page 35.

Does this mean that a court in any state where someone simply accessed the broadcast would have personal jurisdiction based only on the fact that it was a national broadcast?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Possibly not. But here what we did specifically --

THE COURT: Because don't the courts often say -- and
I'm not saying I necessarily agree with it, but it does seem to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

be widely accepted law that the fact that you say something that happens to be broadcasted nationally standing alone isn't sufficient to confer personal jurisdiction in all 50 states, right? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes. But here what we say are Florida residents -- I don't know the exact number -- 50,000, used the Mavericks' code to actually buy the Voyager products. So these are Florida residents that all heard the announcement, relied on it, and used the Mavericks' code. So that's just some of the examples that we use showing the link to Florida. We also have thousands --THE COURT: But in terms of the purposeful availment element, which is -- which we look at from the perspective of the defendant entering the relevant marketplace, those 50,000 Voyager codes, as I understand it, were used because they heard it on the broadcast or saw it on the broadcast, right? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah. But when they did the broadcast -- we actually sued Ketchum, who's the public relations company, and they're, like, "This is how we're going to target the big states," and Florida I think was the second largest state to target. So the plan was --THE COURT: Target how? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Let's do a press conference so that we can make sure to target people in Florida by having nationwide

broadcasters come to the PR release, let's have Mark send out

tweets to all of his followers, including those that live in 1 2 Florida. I mean, our papers have maybe ten or 11 examples, 3 Your Honor, that I can go back and show you that specifically 4 targeted Florida. 5 And, in fact, the truth is, it worked, because so many 6 people in Florida heard the announcement, watched it on the 7 Internet, watched it, you know, with all the different various media forums, relied on it, and then bought the Voyager stock. 8 9 I think Florida may be our largest class of state purchasers. I think California and Florida and maybe Illinois are the 10 11 numbers. Because we got them from Voyager. We served Voyager 12 with a subpoena, "Tell us the customers that bought the Voyager 13 product." I think Florida was the second largest. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 To the defendant, you've since abandoned your argument that we "lack personal jurisdiction over the out-of-state 16 17 claims of out-of-state named plaintiffs." That's your first 18 MTD, ECF Number 41, at page 18, quoting my decision in 19 Carter vs. Ford, 2021 WL 1165248, at page 6, from 2021. 20 Is it your position, then, that as long as we have 21 personal jurisdiction over the Florida plaintiffs, then we 22 should exercise personal jurisdiction over all the named plaintiffs? 2.3 24 Thank you, Your Honor. First, may I 25 correct some statements?

```
1
                          Just answer my question first, and then
              THE COURT:
 2
     you can go back to it.
 3
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
 4
              The answer is, if you find that there's jurisdiction
 5
     as a whole under the questions that you asked Mr. Moskowitz
 6
    before, which is whether or not, A, there was activity that was
 7
     targeting Florida, or specific activities that availed the
     defendant to the jurisdiction in Florida, then that would be
 8
 9
     sufficient under the law. You wouldn't have to worry about the
     other defendants. That's -- that were out of state.
10
11
              THE COURT: Other plaintiffs, you're saying.
12
                         Excuse me, other plaintiffs, correct.
              MR. BEST:
13
              THE COURT: But you made that argument in your first
     motion to dismiss.
14
15
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
              THE COURT: And then you dropped it in your second
16
17
     motion to dismiss.
18
              MR. BEST:
                         That's correct.
19
              THE COURT:
                          Okav.
20
              MR. BEST:
                         That's correct.
21
              So -- and I have to correct some statements.
22
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
2.3
                         The entire --
              MR. BEST:
24
              THE COURT: Which one are we dealing with now?
25
              MR. BEST:
                         The question that there are 50,000
```

```
Florida --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Ah.
 3
                         The entire national class -- and
              MR. BEST:
 4
     plaintiffs' counsel knows this -- the entire national class of
 5
     Voyager accountholders that signed up with the Mavericks' code
 6
     and received a reward, the entire national class is 10,000
 7
     people. All right? So of that, the subset is a few thousand
     at most that were Florida residents. So this whole notion that
 8
 9
     there are 50,000 people that signed up is just inaccurate.
              THE COURT: All right. Understood.
10
              What else?
11
12
              MR. BEST: Second, there is absolutely no evidence --
13
     and we're at the motion to dismiss stage, so I would say
14
     there's no claims in the complaint that I remember -- it's been
     a while -- that show that the Mavericks or Mr. Cuban was
15
     targeting Florida specifically. It was a press conference --
16
17
              THE COURT: That's what I was asking about, whether
18
     it's just -- because the cases are legion that say that if you
19
     just -- and, again, I'm not saying I think this makes that much
20
     sense -- but if you do a national broadcast, and people in
21
     California hear it, and they're injured by buying the product,
22
     that's just not sufficient for purposeful availment, right?
2.3
              MR. BEST:
                         Correct.
24
              THE COURT: I mean that's what the cases say.
25
              MR. BEST:
                         Correct.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: So that's what I was asking him about.
 2
     And he says there are ten things in his complaint that I just
 3
     don't remember seeing.
 4
              MR. BEST: Yeah, I don't remember seeing it either.
 5
     Let me -- the basic facts are, there was one promotional
 6
     statement press conference on October 27th, 2021, that it was
 7
     held in Dallas, Texas. That was the only statement that was
     ever made by the Mavericks or Cuban about the Voyager promotion
8
 9
     that's at issue here.
10
              Past that, what I know is that there were tweets --
11
              THE COURT: So you're saying that that was about
12
     Florida in some way or no?
13
              MR. BEST:
                        Not at all.
14
              THE COURT: Oh.
15
              MR. BEST:
                         It was announcing the Voyager Sponsorship
     Agreement with the Mavericks. Florida was never mentioned in
16
17
     that press conference.
                             I believe -- and correct me if I'm
18
     wrong -- and your law clerks will be on top of this -- but the
19
     transcript of the press conference was submitted with the
20
                 It's 29 pages. I've gone through it line by line.
     complaint.
     Florida's never mentioned.
21
                                 No --
22
              THE COURT: He's saying that they hired people who
2.3
     were specific experts in targeting the Florida market or
24
     something like that?
25
              MR. BEST:
                         Inaccurate.
```

```
1
              The market that was targeted was the -- not only --
 2
     it's even smaller than just Texas -- it's the Dallas NBA market
 3
     that is in the Dallas area extending down to -- I don't know if
 4
     it's Austin -- it bleeds into the San Antonio Spurs market.
 5
     But, remember, we're talking about an NBA team's market.
 6
     has nothing to do with Florida. It's the Dallas Mavericks
7
     market in the area that was of the most --
              THE COURT: How is it, then, that -- I mean he says
 8
 9
     that the plurality of people who sign -- what I interpreted him
     to say -- the plurality of people who signed up for this thing
10
11
     and used the code were in Florida. Do you agree with that?
12
              MR. BEST: No.
13
              THE COURT: That's not true either?
                         It's not true, and it's not in the
14
              MR. BEST:
15
     complaint for the motion to dismiss stage. And we've been --
     we've had the benefit of almost a year's worth of discovery
16
17
     here, and there's been no evidence adduced to that point.
18
              THE COURT: But some people in Florida --
19
              MR. BEST:
                         Without a doubt.
20
              THE COURT: -- some people in Florida signed up for
21
     it.
          Is that true?
22
                         It is not only true, but the evidence has
              MR. BEST:
2.3
     shown that Voyager then put out on the Internet -- which was
     then copied by others and put out -- a press -- a statement
24
25
     saying, "Sign up with the Mavs' code, get a hundred dollars of
```

```
1
     free Bitcoin." It was nationally sent out. And so
 2
     nationally -- naturally, there were people in Florida that
 3
     signed up.
 4
              THE COURT: You're saying these people signed up
 5
    because of what Voyager did, not what the Mavericks did.
 6
              MR. BEST:
                         Correct. There was a Voyager --
 7
              THE COURT: But that's not in the complaint, right?
                         None of this is in the complaint supporting
 8
 9
          So I'm now bleeding into essentially summary judgment
     arguments. But telling you right now, there is literally
10
11
     nothing in the complaint that talks about this being targeted
12
     to anybody in Florida. Indeed, indeed, Mr. Cuban showed up at
13
     a crypto conference, I don't know, a couple weeks or a month
14
     later, after the announcement of this press conference, and
15
     didn't say word one about the Voyager promotion while he was in
     Florida.
16
17
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the
     defendant?
18
19
             MR. BEST:
                         No, Your Honor.
                                          Thank you.
20
             THE COURT: Anybody over there?
21
             MR. KNIGHT: No, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Mr. Moskowitz, anything you want to add to
2.3
     that?
24
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah. If the Court would like, we're
25
    happy to brief it.
                         I mean they --
```

1 THE COURT: No, no, no. You've got plenty of 2 briefing, and I'm ruling on it. I just had a few specific 3 questions that I wanted to ask everybody about. MR. MOSKOWITZ: I correct -- I think the number was 4 5 5,000 in the first -- in the first 48 hours, 5,000 people 6 quickly signed up and then the code expired. 7 THE COURT: You're saying the first 48 hours after the 8 Mavericks' press conference? 9 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right. THE COURT: So in other words, not after the Voyager 10 11 press release or whatever it was that was on the Internet. 12 MR. BEST: Same time. 13 THE COURT: It was at the same time. 14 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Same time. They did this massive 15 global international partnership. That's the way they got 16 around the gated. They weren't just restricted to the area 17 like it used to be around the stadium. It's an international 18 partnership. And this was -- we've done a bunch of 19 depositions -- why they were able to use the Internet. 20 Clearly, the Internet they knew was going to reach all around 21 the world. 22 And then after the success of this press conference, 2.3 we have documents where the Mavericks tout, "Look how great the 24 press conference worked." Look at the -- and the numbers are 25 in the documents -- I'm sorry, I don't want to miscite

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
anything, but there's hundreds of millions of clicks, because
worldwide this was a great press conference and a great
statement. It all worked. So I just want to make sure that
that's clear. And there's documents --
        THE COURT: But the question of what was like
purposefully targeting Florida, that's obviously what we're
concerned about, right?
        MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right. And they purposely targeted
everybody.
        THE COURT: You're saying that's all in the complaint.
        MR. MOSKOWITZ: I think it is, Your Honor.
                                                    It's clear
that there was a nationwide target. In fact, there was an
international target. Because they went through and tried to
get national reporters, international reporters, I mean to a
tee, to make sure that it had the maximized coverage. Mark
Cuban was to tell all his tweets, he was to tell all his
people. I mean, there's a lot of plans in this marketing
campaign to reach as many people as possible, and it was a
great success. And we deposed Mark Cuban on this. He says,
crushed it. I did a great job." It worked.
                                             It wasn't
restricted just to 20 miles. They got amazing amounts of
people as a result of this international press conference.
         THE COURT: Why do you say it's limited just to the
Dallas area? What's that about?
        MR. BEST:
                   So you had two competing companies doing a
```

```
press conference with differing goals.
 1
 2
              So the Dallas Mavericks, under their Sponsorship
 3
     Agreement, it got paid irrespective of the success of the
 4
     Voyager promotion.
                        And so the Mavericks were interested in
 5
     their marketplace. And so when Mark Cuban was speaking, he was
 6
     speaking to The Dallas Morning News reporter, right? And so
 7
     Voyager, Steve Ehrlich, the CEO of Voyager, was at the same
8
    press conference, touting the global --
 9
              THE COURT: You're saying they had different
10
     incentives.
11
              MR. BEST: Excuse me, different incentives. That's
12
     exactly right.
13
              So I'm not debating this idea --
              THE COURT: But if they're like -- not conspiring, but
14
15
     cooperating with one another, and one of them has the incentive
16
     to go global and the other has the incentive only to go local,
17
     does the fact of the global aspirations of one of the partners
18
     get imputed to the partnership as a whole?
19
              MR. BEST: I don't know. I would love to brief that.
20
     But I don't think so, particularly if there's no planning
21
     beforehand on this issue.
22
              THE COURT: But would the Mavericks have known that
2.3
     global -- Voyager intended for this to be a global marketing
     campaign?
24
25
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
```

```
1
              MR. MOSKOWITZ:
                              Yes.
 2
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes, they did. However --
 3
              THE COURT:
                          There's a Judge Altonaga case,
 4
    Miller vs. Gizmodo Media, you may know, 383 F.Supp.3d 1365, at
 5
    page 1375, a 2019 case, where she collects a bunch of cases
     from around the country, where she says that "courts have
 6
 7
     uniformly rejected the argument that a tweet not specifically
     directed to a forum state is a sufficient minimum contact to
 8
 9
     confer personal jurisdiction under the due process clause."
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, let me just make one thing
10
11
     clear, because this has been in contention for a year. It's
12
     not one press conference; it's eight months of publicity, of
13
    marketing. So every home game that the Mavericks had, it's
14
    Voyager, Voyager. Voyager.
15
              THE COURT: At the games.
16
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: At the games. So we have one
17
     document, which is wonderful, it's a summary of this
18
     eight months of work. And it says, We did an incredible job
19
    promoting -- and don't take my word for it, read the
20
     document -- to the world that Voyager is our partner, so we did
21
     it in the games, we did it in the gaming center, the Mavs'
22
     gaming center, we did it in all these other --
2.3
                          Isn't that all localized in Dallas?
              THE COURT:
24
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: No, it's worldwide. In the press
25
     conference, they said, We want players that are international.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

That's why they went to the Mavs in some part, because Luka and other people were international players. So they said they can appeal to the international market. So they actually asked them questions so that they would reach the international market. We've briefed this so extensively. THE COURT: Who would reach? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Voyager said to the Mavs, "We want you because you have international players, and you will appeal to the international market. Forget just the United States. You will appeal to people in Europe, in Germany where you have players." THE COURT: So those are all awesome places, but what does it have to do with Florida? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Because it's the United States. They're focusing all in the world. So what Judge Altonaga's case is, if it just happened to have landed in Florida, fine. But if you look at the plethora of evidence that we have here, over an eight-month period, the goal was to reach everybody in the United States, and Miami and Florida is a big jurisdiction, I mean it is, and we're happy to brief it again. It's in the papers. THE COURT: I don't want any more briefing. already read the briefing. MR. MOSKOWITZ: The document says, We were successful, we were able to get all these people from across the country.

2.3

And we know that 5,000 in the very first 48 hours signed up immediately who lived in Florida, because they reached the message.

I mean, there was -- I don't know the exact number, but millions of people reaching it on the Internet. You watch the press conference on the Internet. That's how they had it. So everybody on the Internet is watching it. People in Florida are watching it. We have plaintiffs that are from Florida that say, "I saw it in Florida." So like what Steve said, the Supreme Court says now, there's jurisdiction over those plaintiffs, so you don't look to the other class rep's for jurisdiction. So that question's over. We've got jurisdiction over here because you have a plaintiff that's from Florida, that heard it in Florida, was targeted, and he has damages.

THE COURT: Well, what -- only if he was targeted.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: He was targeted. The way he was able to get it -- and we ask in depositions: Didn't you think that the Internet's going to reach Florida? Yes, of course. It's not gated. Like in the '40s, they had this gated rule, where they can't intrude on, say, the Houston Rockets' market, right? They have to keep them separate. Well, now, the way they got around it is they're an international partner, so we can use the Internet. And we asked their main guy about that, he says, "Yeah, of course we knew it's going to reach everybody."

That's why Voyager wanted the Mavericks and Mark so much,

```
that's why they paid so much, because the Mavericks would be
1
 2
     such a good force to market this around the United States.
 3
     Heat and the Mavericks, you know, they were in the finals the
 4
     two years before, Mark's coming down to the Heat a lot for the
 5
     games.
 6
              I mean, our papers have a lot more contact directly to
7
     Florida, certainly to at least state jurisdiction at this
8
     point, you know, two years after we filed the complaint.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
                                      Thank you very much.
10
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: All respect. Thank you, Your Honor.
11
              MR. BEST: Just very briefly.
12
              First, I like being in here. I like being before Your
13
     Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Well, that's nice of you.
15
              MR. BEST:
                         So please don't take any --
16
              THE COURT: I have to say, I'm a Heat fan.
17
     know if I'm going to get recused as a result of that.
18
              MR. BEST:
                         You can be a Heat fan.
              THE COURT: I watched those finals games.
19
20
                         I wish the Mavericks had won.
              MR. BEST:
21
              THE COURT: Well, one of them they did.
22
              MR. BEST:
                         They did one.
                                        Exactly.
2.3
              So Luka Doncic was never -- was asked, indeed, to do a
    part of this press conference and declined. So Luka was never
24
25
                    I don't know how Luka's name got into it.
     part of this.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
The countries Germany and France were mentioned in the
press conference in a question: Are you going international?
And the aspirational answer by not the Mavericks, but by Steve
Ehrlich was, "Yes, we're hoping to get to Germany and France in
the next year, year and a half." Nothing about any specific
state other than Texas. Certainly no mention of Florida.
        Thank you, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right.
                                 Thank you all very much.
        Anything else I can help the plaintiffs with?
                        No, Your Honor.
        MR. MOSKOWITZ:
        THE COURT: Anything I can help the defense with?
        MR. BEST: Yes, Your Honor.
         I think it's important for Your Honor to realize
what's happened since we had the stay in May and now.
reached out to Voyager. They gave us access to their entire
account database. It is an unwieldy beast, as you can imagine.
        THE COURT: I don't even want to imagine.
        MR. BEST:
                   We had to literally hire somebody to write
code to access it.
                   So you need to hire a third party to write
code just to get answers as to the database.
        THE COURT: Where is that now in the bankruptcy
            What's happening now?
proceeding?
        MR. BEST:
                   Good question. So it is -- the bankruptcy
estate is still open, and they're hoping to get --
        THE COURT:
                     It's here? It's here in Miami?
```

```
1
                         No, it's in New Jersey --
              MR. BEST:
 2
              THE COURT: New Jersey, okay.
 3
              MR. BEST:
                         -- New York or New Jersey.
 4
              MS. TIFFORD: Southern District of New York.
 5
                         Southern District of New York?
              MR. BEST:
 6
     Southern District of New York. The one remaining piece is
 7
    whether or not Three Arrows' money's going to come in to get
     accountholders as whole as possible. So there's one big claim
 8
 9
     that's worth probably anywhere from 400 million to a billion
     dollars left that they're waiting on.
10
11
              THE COURT: Wow.
12
              MR. BEST: Right now it's -- they say that the
13
     accountholders have received 70 percent to date of what they
14
     lost through -- but I don't know what 70 percent means.
15
    could be 70 percent of their entire -- say, entire investment
    or 70 percent of the day that the bankruptcy filing happened,
16
17
     and it could be a higher number.
                                       I can --
18
              THE COURT: So what was your question? So you had to
19
    hire a third party --
20
              MR. BEST: I had to hire a third party. This isn't
21
     really a question; it's a notice to Your Honor. We've
22
     learned -- and I've notified counsel, both Mr. Moskowitz and
2.3
    Mr. Boies, about this. And I told them that I'm happy to sit
24
     down with them and share all the information that we found.
25
              Candidly, now knowing what I -- what we've seen, the
```

```
briefing -- you would be greatly assisted with either a
 1
 2
     supplemental briefing or a brief hearing on how the account --
 3
     the Voyager system works before you make class certification.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. But not on the motion to dismiss,
 5
    because that's what I'm working on now.
 6
             MR. BEST:
                         Correct. I just wanted to let you know the
7
    whole universe has changed since we wrote our motions on class
8
     certification.
 9
              THE COURT: What do you think about that?
             MR. MOSKOWITZ: I don't think it has. I mean one
10
11
     thing on the side note, Judge, we have other cases together,
12
     and we've been getting along extremely well.
13
              THE COURT: Seems like you're getting along great
14
    here.
15
             MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right, yeah. We've really worked
     together. And another --
16
17
              THE COURT: I'd be interested -- I'm sorry to cut you
18
     off --
19
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: -- just a thought. I'm working now on the
21
    motion to dismiss. I don't know where that's going to go.
                                                                 To
22
    be honest, I don't know which way it's going to come out.
2.3
     That's why I brought you in. But assuming the -- if the case
24
    does continue, and if there's been a lot that's happened in the
25
     last few months that wasn't accessible to you all because -- by
```

virtue of the fact that these people went into bankruptcy, and it was a voluminous trove of information, I'd be interested in seeing a new motion for class certification, as opposed to dealing with what may be stale information. But I'm admittedly speaking only based on what he just said, and you may disagree with that.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right. I don't think any of the documents that Steve is mentioning that he's getting has any involvement with the pending motion for class certification at all.

What's also happened is another securities case, the securities case which overlaps our case. So they had to find people that bought Voyager stock and had Voyager accounts under the federal Securities Act. That case settled in New York.

And the judge had comments about notice. How we going to find all of the people? So they revised the claims administrator two weeks ago -- and Steve knows this -- and this week the federal judge granted final approval to that settlement, saying, I can find all of the Voyager people that were affected in going through this route through the bankruptcy.

THE COURT: But is that what you're talking about?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: No, he's talking about something else.

He's talking about when Voyager went bankrupt three years ago,

he's trying to get information from them on our clients. And

they gave him all their data, but he's saying a lot of it

2.3

wasn't under a very good searchable term, so he's going to have to rebuild it.

What I'm saying is, it's my burden to prove the class certification; it's my job. We can do it. Because the pending motion that we have, Your Honor, says we want to certify two issues. It does not say the typical Rule 23. It says: Is Mark Cuban and the Mavericks a statutory seller? And are these unregistered securities? That's all we're asking to be certified. Because if those two questions are certified and the jury decides those two questions, then we can do this case quickly. Because the motion to dismiss will say, yes, the New Jersey statute says he's liable.

And that's just like Judge Moreno's case that came out last month. They found -- and this is a case that Steve and I have together -- Shaquille O'Neal is a seller under the securities statute because he promoted the product. Well, clearly here the Mavericks and Mark Cuban promoted Voyager and had a financial interest. That's not, I don't think, debated. So we think the question for Your Honor of can he be held liable, that's a simple question. Judge Moreno answered it straight on, yes, he is at this stage. So we want that to be answered. And we think if that's answered, like Judge Moreno did, we could settle this case. We could sit down with Ketchum and McCarter and possibly the NBA, the other defendants that are there, once we know that initial ruling, that we've been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

waiting on for a little while, if that gets ruled upon. it's against us, it's against us. But if it's like Judge Moreno, and we find that he is at least -- falls under the New Jersey statute, we think this case could get settled. THE COURT: So why do you need the Voyager data trove to answer that question? MR. BEST: Because what is being left out of the plaintiffs' ask is the key issue of successful -- what constitutes a successful solicitation. Being -- asking you to opine that there is a securities class of the entire Voyager accountholders before Mr. Cuban or the Mavericks ever even knew about Voyager up until when it went bankruptcy (sic) has no place in this case, unless there is some causal connection to the promotional -- the alleged promotional conduct of the defendants. And the key question that's not being ask -- the way this is set up is to avoid asking Your Honor to rule on the successful solicitation and getting around the requirements --THE COURT: Because he just wants me to rule on whether he's a statutory seller. MR. BEST: Correct. And so --THE COURT: But since he's the one who's filed the motion, can't he set the issues that he wants me to decide? MR. BEST: Absolutely. All I'm trying to do is help the process across the board, which anybody can decline if they want, to tell you, there may be an ascertainable class now that

```
I know all this information. It's just not what the plaintiffs
1
 2
     want.
           But Your Honor should hear --
              THE COURT: Let me do this then. I think what makes
 3
 4
     sense is, let me rule on the motion to dismiss.
 5
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: And then once I've done that, what may
 7
     make sense is just to bring you all back in, hear about this
     issue, and then if you persuade me that I should do a second
8
 9
     round of motion for certification, I will.
                                                 Have you spoken to
     Mr. Moskowitz about your view about this?
10
11
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
12
              THE COURT: And you just disagree on it.
13
              MR. BEST:
                         Correct.
14
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right, very respectfully.
15
              THE COURT: So why don't we do that?
16
              MR. BEST:
                        We can always go to mediation on these
17
     points, at least refine them for Your Honor.
18
              THE COURT: On this separate point of whether there
19
     needs to be separate certification briefing?
20
              MR. BEST:
                         Correct.
              THE COURT: But what would that even look like?
21
22
     say he filed -- this is where I'm having trouble -- he's the
     one who filed the motion. So are we going to force him to file
2.3
     a different kind of motion?
24
25
              MR. BEST:
                              It's more refining our motion to --
                         No.
```

1 THE COURT: Your response. 2 MR. BEST: Correct, my response. 3 THE COURT: So you just want to be able to file a 4 different response to the motion he already filed. 5 With the new information that I think is MR. BEST: 6 germane to this case. Essentially, it is almost impossible to 7 file a response with all the data that we have now within a tight page limit. And so I wanted to try to refine the issues 8 9 to make it easier for all of us. I'm happy to go through it 10 either on briefing or in a courtroom setting. But there are 11 literally a hundred gateways that Your Honor will have to 12 consider to get an ascertainable class. That's the point. 13 So you have the Mavs -- just indulge me for 14 15 seconds -- you have the -- those Voyager accountholders that 15 received the Mavs' code, right? That's an ascertainable class. 16 All right? 17 Plaintiffs want everybody after October 27th, which is 18 the press conference, to be part of a class, even if they 19 didn't get the Mavs' code. And that's -- that is -- the --20 they put that into their damages class, but they don't leave it 21 in the securities class. They want these people part of the 22 securities class. This goes to the issue of whether or not 2.3 it's a successful solicitation of these people. 24 But once you get to the Mavs' code reward recipients, 25 there's then the question of the investment decision of 10,000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

```
people and all the various investment decisions they make,
because there's no harmony to any of them. They did all sorts
            There were 90 tokens to invest in, none of which,
of things.
by the way, did Mr. Cuban or the Mavericks promote. So how --
and at least half of those tokens were not securities, because
they weren't on the earned program account platform. So they
weren't earning interest. And it's uncontradicted that they're
not securities. And so a large number of these accountholders
who received the Mavs' code invested in strategies that were
never discussed at any promotional press conference.
         And so there's all sorts of gateways to go through to
determine the class. And I think I've gotten 90 percent of the
way home on the journey --
                     In the response you've already filed --
         THE COURT:
         MR. BEST:
                    No.
         THE COURT: -- or in your head?
         MR. BEST:
                    In what would be a new response, or some
way to get it at least to the Court to educate the Court as to
all these issues.
                     So all you want, then, really, is to just
         THE COURT:
have leave to file a new response and then give him leave to
file a new reply.
                    If I'm not successful in --
         MR. BEST:
         THE COURT: Well, I don't see you as being -- what I'm
struggling with is, how do you get to just, like, dictate to
```

```
1
    him what kind of motion he gets to file? He could file
 2
    whatever motion he wants. I might deny it.
              MR. BEST:
 3
                         I'm not dictating at all. I'm offering him
 4
     the --
 5
              THE COURT: An offramp.
                         Offered him -- I offer you the
 6
              MR. BEST:
7
     institutional knowledge that we've had --
              THE COURT: He doesn't want knowledge. He wants his
 8
 9
    motion.
              Right?
                         Okay.
10
              MR. BEST:
11
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah, I would just say one thing in
12
     closing. It's been two years. They did a motion to dismiss.
13
     I think it's reasonable to ask for a ruling on the motion to
14
     dismiss before we get to class certification.
15
              THE COURT: We're not talking about that. We're not
    even talking about that. I get that this is the most important
16
17
     thing to you, but I also have 200 cases, so I'm sorry if it's
18
    not as quickly as you would have liked.
19
              MR. MOSKOWITZ:
                             No, no.
20
              THE COURT: However, that being said, he's not talking
21
     about the motion to dismiss. We've already said I'm going to
22
     rule on the motion to dismiss. And then he just wants on the
2.3
    motion for class certification, which I'm going to rule on
24
    after, he wants to have an opportunity to file a different
25
     response than the one he filed before, which he filed at a time
```

```
when he was behind the veil of ignorance, say, and didn't know
 1
 2
     all the things he now knows.
 3
              Was that fair to say?
 4
              MR. BEST:
                         Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah.
                                 And he also wants to give you --
     and he thinks you're wrong in the motion that you filed, and he
 6
 7
     wants to give you a friendly opportunity to file a different
 8
              And I take it you're not going to be amenable to that,
 9
     right?
10
              MR. MOSKOWITZ:
                              Right. Because I just --
11
              THE COURT: You disagree with him. Okay, good.
12
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: I don't want to file that motion that
13
     he's saying I shouldn't file. I'm not filing it.
14
     seeking to certify the damage class of all people, I'm not
15
     doing that, and I haven't done that, I don't want to do that
16
     later. I just want to move this case along.
17
              THE COURT: So we're now just simply on the question
18
     of whether while I'm ruling on the motion to dismiss, he should
19
    be allowed to file a different response than the one he's
20
     filed, and then you can file a different reply to the one that
21
     you filed.
22
              MR. MOSKOWITZ:
                              I have no problem --
2.3
                          I don't have any problem with it either.
              THE COURT:
                             He can file whatever he wants, sure.
24
              MR. MOSKOWITZ:
25
              THE COURT:
                          So how much time do you need for that?
```

```
MR. BEST:
 1
                         A month? A couple weeks?
 2
              THE COURT: A couple weeks sounds good.
 3
                         Perfect.
              MR. BEST:
 4
              THE COURT: So today is the 15th. How about by the
 5
    end of the month, the 31st?
 6
              MR. BEST:
                         Right.
7
              THE COURT: All right? And then how much time do you
8
    need to file your reply, your new reply?
 9
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Same time would be great.
              THE COURT: So I gave him 16 days. I'll give you
10
11
     16 days. That will put us -- November the 15th you'll file
12
     your reply. Sound good?
13
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Did you want to add something?
15
              MR. ULRICH: May I make one quick point about the
    personal jurisdiction issue, Your Honor?
16
17
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
18
              MR. ULRICH: One other fact that I think is relevant
19
     is that the Mavericks played two games in Florida while they
20
    were brand ambassadors, promoters for --
21
              THE COURT: When is that?
22
              MR. ULRICH: I'm not sure of the exact dates.
2.3
              THE COURT: But this was before the October 27th press
24
    conference or after?
25
              MR. ULRICH:
                           It was after. And it's in the complaint.
```

And the Florida -- there were -- either the Heat -- I don't

```
2
     know if it was the Heat or the Magic also played in Dallas
 3
     while there was Voyager promotion in the arena. And remember,
 4
     our theory is that -- which Mr. Best was also just
 5
     discussing -- was that by enrolling in the Voyager platform, by
 6
     default, they were -- the customers were put into what we argue
 7
     are securities, because there were these earned accounts. And
     so by promoting the Voyager promotion on TV when Florida teams
 8
 9
     are in the arena being watched in Florida, it's promotion of
     the -- what we're claiming --
10
11
              THE COURT: Was it also promoted in the arena?
              MR. ULRICH: There was signage for Voyager, the
12
13
     product in arena.
14
              THE COURT: But in the Dallas arena. Or the Miami
15
     arena?
              MR. ULRICH: No, no, only in Dallas.
16
17
              THE COURT:
                          Only in Dallas.
18
              MR. ULRICH: But my point is that it was on TV and the
19
     Florida teams were there. Essentially my point, Your Honor, is
20
     that the Gizmodo case from Judge Altonaga talking about a
21
     nationwide tweet, we're not in the same situation where a
22
     nationwide tweet could go to Arkansas or Alaska, no NBA teams
2.3
             This is a targeted audience of NBA fans, and even more
     so targeted towards the teams that are rivals of the Mavericks
24
25
     where they're coming to play in states where they play,
```

```
including in Florida, while they were brand ambassadors for
 1
 2
     Voyager, while Florida teams were playing in Florida, audiences
 3
     were watching the games in Dallas. So that I think is also
 4
     relevant to the personal jurisdiction issue.
 5
              THE COURT: And this game was -- this Voyager ad was
 6
    broadcast on a non-Dallas local channel, is what you're saying?
7
              MR. ULRICH: Well, when there's the signage in the
     arena, it gets picked up -- the Florida games obviously are --
8
 9
     the Heat games are shown in Miami.
                          I see what you're saying. You're saying
10
              THE COURT:
11
     that it's like on the side of the court, so when the guys are
12
    dribbling up, you can see it in the background?
13
              MR. ULRICH:
                          Right.
14
              THE COURT: And while I'm watching the game in Miami,
15
     on my Sunshine Network or whatever, I would have seen it on the
16
     screen.
17
              MR. ULRICH: Right.
18
              THE COURT: So it's not an ad that's like a
19
     commercial.
20
              MR. ULRICH: Exactly. Right. It's signage in the
21
     arena, shown on the TVs, and it indicates there is further
22
     evidence of purposeful availment to the Florida audience and
2.3
    the customers here. That's the only point I wanted to add.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
25
                     Anything else I can help the plaintiff with?
              Okay.
```

```
1
              MR. MOSKOWITZ: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: The defendant?
 3
              MR. BEST: No, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. Great to see you all. Have a good
 5
     day.
 6
              MR. BEST:
                         Thank you very much.
7
              THE COURT: Thanks for coming in.
              It will be important to know whether the Heat won or
8
 9
     lost that game. That will be important to the ruling.
10
              (Laughter)
11
              (The Judge exited the courtroom)
12
              (Proceedings concluded at 3:52 p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
                          CERTIFICATE
19
          I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
20
     Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
21
     correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
22
     above-entitled matter.
2.3
         /s/Francine C. Salopek
                                                 10-17-2024
     Francine C. Salopek, RMR-CRR
24
     Official Court Reporter
25
```

	<b>31st [1]</b> 34/5	Adam [2] 2/3 4/8
	<b>3276 [1]</b> 3/15	add [3] 15/22 34/14 36/23
MR. BEST: [68]	3302 [1] 3/4	adduced [1] 14/17
MR. FERRER: [1] 4/17	<b>33131 [3]</b> 2/8 2/14 3/12	adjudication [1] 5/13
MR. KNIGHT: [2] 5/5 15/21	<b>33131-3302</b> [1] 3/4	administrator [1] 26/16
MR. MOSKOWITZ: [42]	33134 [1] 2/17	administrator [1] 26/10
MR. SACHS: [1] 5/10		
MR. ULRICH: [12]	<b>33175</b> [1] 2/5	affected [1] 26/19
MS. ALEXANDER: [1] 4/16	333 [1] 2/10	afternoon [13]
MS. TIFFORD: [1] 24/4	<b>33308</b> [1] 3/15	agency [2] 7/7 7/9
THE COURT REPORTER: [1] 4/4	35 [1] 8/18	agent [1] 8/5
THE COURT: [121]	383 F.Supp.3d 1365 [1] 19/4	agree [2] 8/25 14/11
	<b>39th [1]</b> 3/14	<b>Agreement [2]</b> 13/16 18/3
<u> </u>	3:04 [1] 1/8	Ah [1] 12/2
' <b>40s [1]</b> 21/19	3:08 [1] 4/1	AL [2] 1/6 1/9
,	<b>3:52 [1]</b> 37/12	Alabama [1] 5/20
/	4	Alaska [1] 35/22
/s/Francine [1] 37/23		Alexander [2] 2/9 4/13
	<b>400</b> million [1] 24/9	Alexandra [1] 3/2
1	<b>41 [1]</b> 10/18	Alhambra [1] 2/16
<b>10,000 [2]</b> 12/6 30/25	<b>48 hours [3]</b> 16/5 16/7 21/1	Ali [1] 5/6
<b>10-17-2024</b> [1] 37/23	<u> </u>	alleged [2] 7/22 28/14
100 [1] 2/7	5	allowed [1] 33/19
10504 [1] 2/11	<b>5,000 [3]</b> 16/5 16/5 21/1	almost [2] 14/16 30/6
<b>11 [2]</b> 6/13 10/2	<b>50</b> [1] 9/3	alone [1] 9/2
<b>1165248 [1]</b> 10/19	<b>50,000 [4]</b> 9/6 9/14 11/25 12/9	alternative [1] 5/19
<b>1365 [1]</b> 19/4	C	Altman [1] 1/14
1375 [1] 19/5	6	Altonaga [2] 19/3 35/20
1395 [1] 3/3	<b>600 [1]</b> 3/8	Altonaga's [1] 20/15
13th [1] 5/12	<b>601 [2]</b> 2/16 3/7	amazing [1] 17/21
14th [1] 3/4	<b>653409 [1]</b> 2/4	ambassadors [2] 34/20 36/1
15 [1] 4/1	7	amenable [1] 33/8
15 seconds [1] 30/14		amounts [1] 17/21
15th [2] 34/4 34/11	<b>70 percent [4]</b> 24/13 24/14 24/15	announcement [3] 9/8 10/6 15/14
16 days [2] 34/10 34/11	24/16	announcing [1] 13/15
1600 [1] 3/11	<b>753 [1]</b> 37/19	answer [5] 7/23 11/1 11/4 23/3 28/6
<b>18 [1]</b> 10/18	8	answers [1] 23/20
<b>189 [1]</b> 5/14		Antonio [1] 14/4
<b>195 [1]</b> 8/17	<b>80 [1]</b> 2/13	apologize [2] 4/23 5/8
1999 [1] 2/14	8th [1] 2/13	appeal [3] 20/3 20/8 20/10
	9	<b>APPEARANCES [2]</b> 2/1 3/1
2		application [1] $7/25$
20 miles [1] 17/21	90 [1] 31/3	applied [1] 7/16
200 [1] 32/17	90 percent [1] 31/12	apply [3] 6/10 6/13 6/24
20005 [1] 3/8	A	approval [1] 26/18
<b>2019</b> [1] 19/5		area [4] 14/3 14/7 16/16 17/24
<b>2021</b> [2] 10/19 13/6	abandoned [1] 10/15	arena [8]
<b>2021 WL 1165248 [1]</b> 10/19	above [1] 37/22	argue [1] 35/6
<b>2024 [3]</b> 1/7 4/1 37/23	above-entitled [1] 37/22	argument [3] 10/15 11/13 19/7
22-22538-CIV-RKA [1] 1/4	absolutely [2] 12/12 28/23	arguments [1] 15/10
22-CV-22538 [1] 4/6	accepted [1] 9/1	Arkansas [1] 35/22
<b>22538</b> [1] 4/6	access [2] 23/15 23/19	Armonk [1] 2/11
<b>23 [1]</b> 27/6	accessed [1] 8/20	Arrows' [1] 24/7
<b>231 [1]</b> 5/15	accessible [2] 8/16 25/25	ascertainable [3] 28/25 30/12 30/15
<b>27th [3]</b> 13/6 30/17 34/23	account [3] 23/16 25/2 31/6	aspirational [1] 23/3
<b>28</b> [1] 37/20	accountholders [6] 12/5 24/8 24/13	aspirations [1] 18/17
2800 [1]   2/8	28/11 30/14 31/8	assisted [1] 25/1
<b>29 [2]</b> 1/7 13/20	accounts [2] 26/13 35/7	assuming [1] 25/23
	across [2] 20/25 28/24	audience [2] 35/23 36/22
2nd [1] 2/7	Act [1] 26/14	audiences [1] 36/2
		<b></b> - <b>_</b> - <b>_</b>
3	actions [1] 6/14	Austin [1] 14/4
301-3276 [1] 3/15	activities [1] 11/7	Austin [1] 14/4 availed [1] 11/7
<b>301-3276 [1]</b> 3/15	activities [1] 11/7 activity [1] 11/6	availed [1] 11/7
<b>301-3276 [1]</b> 3/15 <b>3010 [1]</b> 3/14	activities [1] 11/7	availed [1] 11/7 availment [3] 9/12 12/22 36/22
<b>301-3276 [1]</b> 3/15	activities [1] 11/7 activity [1] 11/6	availed [1] 11/7

## data [3] 26/25 28/5 30/7 cite [2] 6/9 6/23 citizens [1] 6/1 database [2] 23/16 23/20 avoid [1] 28/16 CIV [1] 1/4 date [2] 24/13 37/24 awesome [1] 20/12 claim [1] 24/8 dates [1] 34/22 DC [1] 3/8 claiming [1] 35/10 claims [4] 5/24 10/17 12/14 26/16 debated [1] 27/18 **background** [1] 36/12 **clarify [1]** 5/16 debating [1] 18/13 bankrupt [2] 7/18 26/23 **class** [29] decide [1] 28/22 bankruptcy [6] 23/21 23/23 24/16 clause [2] 8/9 19/9 decides [1] 27/10 26/1 26/20 28/12 clear [3] 17/4 17/11 19/11 decision [2] 10/18 30/25 Barry [1] 3/9 clerks [1] 13/18 decisions [2] 6/4 31/1 basic [1] 13/5 clicks [1] 17/1 decline [1] 28/24 basketball [1] 8/14 declined [1] 22/24 clients [1] 26/24 beast [1] 23/16 closing [1] 32/12 default [1] 35/6 **beforehand** [1] 18/21 code [13] defendant [9] behind [1] 33/1 codes [1] 9/15 defendants [5] 1/10 6/1 11/10 27/24 believe [4] 6/7 6/16 7/3 13/17 collects [1] 19/5 28/15 benefit [1] 14/16 comments [1] 26/15 defendants' [1] 5/13 billion [1] 24/9 commercial [1] 36/19 defense [2] 4/25 23/11 Biscayne [2] 3/10 3/11 companies [1] 17/25 deny [1] 32/2 Bitcoin [1] 15/1 company [2] 7/7 9/19 deposed [1] 17/19 bleeding [1] 15/9 competing [1] 17/25 depositions [2] 16/19 21/17 bleeds [1] 14/4 complaint [12] desire [1] 6/10 board [1] 28/24 computer [1] 1/24 Desiree [2] 2/12 4/18 Boies [4] 2/7 2/10 4/14 24/23 determine [1] 31/12 concerned [1] 17/7 bought [3] 10/8 10/12 26/13 **concluded [1]** 37/12 dictate [1] 31/25 **Boulevard [1]** 3/10 conduct [9] dictating [1] 32/3 **Box [1]** 2/4 confer [2] 9/3 19/9 directed [1] 19/8 brand [2] 34/20 36/1 conference [23] directly [1] 22/6 **Brickell** [1] 3/3 disagree [3] 26/5 29/12 33/11 Connecticut [1] 5/20 brief [4] 15/25 18/19 20/20 25/2 **connection** [1] 28/13 discovery [1] 14/16 **briefed [1]** 20/5 connections [1] 6/7 discussed [2] 7/6 31/10 briefing [7] 16/2 20/22 20/23 25/1 **conspiring** [1] 18/14 discussing [1] 35/5 25/2 29/19 30/10 constitutes [1] 28/9 dismiss [15] broadcast [7] 8/20 8/21 9/16 9/16 consumer [2] 6/21 7/25 distinctions [2] 6/18 6/20 9/18 12/20 36/6 contact [2] 19/8 22/6 DISTRICT [8] broadcasted [1] 9/2 **DIVISION [1]** 1/3 contention [1] 19/11 broadcasters [1] 9/25 **CONTINUED** [1] 3/1 document [3] 19/17 19/20 20/24 **Brooke [2]** 2/9 4/13 documents [4] 16/23 16/25 17/4 26/8 cooperating [1] 18/15 **Brown [2]** 3/7 5/2 copied [1] 14/24 dollars [2] 14/25 24/10 bunch [2] 16/18 19/5 Coral [1] 2/17 **DOMINIK** [1] 1/6 burden [1] 27/3 counsel [2] 12/4 24/22 **Doncic** [1] 22/23 **Burnett [2]** 3/3 5/6 **countries** [1] 23/1 doubt [1] 14/19 **Bushman [2]** 2/15 4/9 country [2] 19/6 20/25 dribbling [1] 36/12 **buy [1]** 9/7 **counts [2]** 5/17 5/19 dropped [1] 11/16 buying [1] 12/21 **court** [12] courtroom [3] 4/2 30/10 37/11 courts [3] 8/1 8/24 19/6 earned [2] 31/6 35/7 calendar [1] 4/22 earning [1] 31/7 coverage [1] 17/15 California [3] 5/20 10/10 12/21 CRR [2] 3/13 37/24 easier [1] 30/9 call [1] 4/22 **ECF [4]** 5/13 5/15 8/17 10/18 crushed [1] 17/20 **Calling [1]** 4/5 educate [1] 31/18 **crypto [1]** 15/13 campaign [2] 17/18 18/24 Ehrlich [2] 18/7 23/4 **CUBAN [14]** Candidly [1] 24/25 eight [3] 19/12 19/18 20/18 customers [3] 10/12 35/6 36/23 Carter [1] 10/19 cut [1] 25/17 eight months [2] 19/12 19/18 Carter vs. Ford [1] 10/19 CV [1] 4/6 eight-month [1] 20/18 causal [1] 28/13 element [1] 9/13 center [2] 19/21 19/22 emanate [1] 7/4 **CEO [1]** 18/7 Dallas [14] emanates [1] 6/11 certification [12] damage [1] 33/14 enrolling [1] 35/5 certified [2] 27/9 27/9 damages [2] 21/14 30/20 entitled [1] 37/22 certify [3] 27/5 33/14 37/19 **Dan [1]** 5/8 especially [1] 6/6 channel [1] 36/6 **Daniel** [1] 3/6 Esq [15] Christopher [2] 3/2 5/5

E	game [4] 19/13 36/5 36/14 37/9	including [4] 6/19 8/12 10/1 36/1
-	games [10]	incredible [1] 19/18
essentially [4] 6/13 15/9 30/6 35/19	gaming [2] 19/21 19/22	indeed [3] 15/12 15/12 22/23
estate [1] 23/24	gated [3] 16/16 21/19 21/19	indicates [1] 36/21
ET [2] 1/6 1/9	gateways [2] 30/11 31/11	indulge [1] 30/13
Europe [1] 20/10	germane [1] 30/6	information [6] 24/24 26/2 26/4
everybody [7] 6/25 16/3 17/9 20/18	Germany [3] 20/10 23/1 23/4	26/24 29/1 30/5
21/7 21/24 30/17	gets [3] 28/1 32/1 36/8	initial [1] 27/25
evidence [5] 12/12 14/17 14/22 20/17 36/22	<b>Gizmodo [2]</b> 19/4 35/20	initially [1] 7/8
	global [6] 16/15 18/8 18/16 18/17	injured [1] 12/21
examples [2] 9/10 10/2	18/23 18/23	institutional [1] 32/7
Excuse [2] 11/12 18/11	goal [1] 20/18	intended [1] 18/23
exercise [1] 10/22 exited [1] 37/11	goals [1] 18/1	interest [2] 27/18 31/7
experts [1] 13/23	gotten [1] 31/12	interested [3] 18/4 25/17 26/2
expired [1] 16/6	govern [1] 5/24	international [13]
extensively [1] 20/5	granted [1] 26/18	Internet [9]
extent [1] 7/24	great [8]	Internet's [1] 21/18
extremely [1] 25/12	greatly [1] 25/1	intrude [1] 21/20
	groups [1] 6/23	invest [1] 31/3
F	Н	invested [1] 31/9
F.Supp.3d [1] 19/4		investigated [1] 7/10
fact [8]	half [2] 23/5 31/5	investigating [1] 7/8
facts [1] 13/5	happy [4] 15/25 20/20 24/23 30/9	investment [3] 24/15 30/25 31/1
falls [1] 28/3	harmony [1] 31/2	involvement [1] 26/9
fan [2] 22/16 22/18	Hayden [1] 2/13	irrespective [1] 18/3
fans [1] 35/23	head [1] 31/16 hear [3] 12/21 29/2 29/7	issue [7] 13/9 18/21 28/8 29/8 30/22 34/16 36/4
featuring [1] 8/14	heard [4] 9/8 9/15 10/6 21/14	issues [5] 5/16 27/6 28/22 30/8 31/19
federal [2] 26/14 26/18	hearing [3] 1/13 4/23 25/2	
Feldman [1] 3/10	Heat [8]	J
Fernandez [2] 2/12 4/18	help [4] 23/9 23/11 28/23 36/25	Jersey [23]
Ferrer [2] 2/12 4/17	hereby [1] 37/19	job [3] 17/20 19/18 27/4
file [16]	higher [1] 24/17	Joey [1] 4/9
filed [11]	hire [4] 23/18 23/19 24/19 24/20	Jose [2] 2/12 4/17
filing [2] 24/16 33/13	hired [1] 13/22	Joseph [1] 2/2
final [1] 26/18	history [1] 6/8	journey [1] 31/13
finals [2] 22/3 22/19 financial [1] 27/18	home [2] 19/13 31/13	judge [13]
fine [1] 20/16	honest [1] 25/22	Judge Altonaga [2] 19/3 35/20
Firm [3] 2/4 2/16 4/10	Honor [35]	Judge Altonaga's [1] 20/15
FL [1] 2/17	Honorable [1] 1/14	Judge Moreno [3] 27/20 27/22 28/3
Flexner [3] 2/7 2/10 4/14	hoping [2] 23/4 23/24	Judge Moreno's [1] 27/13
Floor [1] 3/4	hours [3] 16/5 16/7 21/1	judgment [1] 15/9
FLORIDA [65]	Houston [1] 21/20	jurisdiction [16]
Florida's [2] 6/19 13/21	Howard [2] 2/15 4/9	jury [1] 27/10
focus [1] 7/16	hundred [2] 14/25 30/11	K
focusing [1] 20/15	hundreds [1] 17/1	-
followers [2] 8/13 10/1		KARNAS [2] 1/6 4/5
force [2] 22/2 29/23	l'd [2] 25/17 26/2	Karnas vs. Cuban [1] 4/5
Ford [1] 10/19		Kaye [2] 2/2 4/9
foregoing [1] 37/20		keep [1] 21/21 Ketchum [2] 9/18 27/23
Forget [1] 20/9	l've [4] 13/20 24/22 29/6 31/12	key [2] 28/8 28/15
Fort [1] 3/15	idea [1] 18/13	Knight [2] 3/2 5/6
forum [1] 19/8	identical [2] 8/4 8/4	knowing [1] 24/25
forums [1] 10/8	ignorance [1] 33/1	knowledge [2] 32/7 32/8
Fowler [2] 3/3 5/6	Illinois [1] 10/10	knows [3] 12/4 26/17 33/2
Fran [1] 4/3	imagine [2] 23/16 23/17	
France [2] 23/1 23/4	immediately [1] 21/2	L
Francine [3] 3/13 37/23 37/24	impossible [1] 30/6	lack [1] 10/16
free [1] 15/1	imputed [1] 18/18	landed [1] 20/16
friendly [1] 33/7	inaccurate [2] 12/9 13/25	language [1] 8/7
G	incentive [2] 18/15 18/16	large [1] 31/8
Gables [1] 2/17	incentives [2] 18/10 18/11	largest [3] 9/21 10/9 10/13
Canica [1] 2/11		

money's [1] 24/7 Oklahoma [1] 5/21 month [5] 15/13 20/18 27/14 34/1 Oladipo [1] 3/10 late [1] 4/21 34/5 open [1] 23/24 **Lauderdale** [1] 3/15 months [3] 19/12 19/18 25/25 opine [1] 28/10 Laughter [1] 37/10 Moreno [3] 27/20 27/22 28/3 opportunity [2] 32/24 33/7 law [12] Moreno's [1] 27/13 opposed [1] 26/3 laws [1] 7/25 **Morning [1]** 18/6 outstanding [1] 5/16 learned [1] 24/22 overlaps [1] 26/12 Moskowitz [9] leave [3] 30/20 31/21 31/21 motion [35] legion [1] 12/18 motions [2] 4/22 25/7 legislative [1] 6/8 **P.A [3]** 2/13 3/3 3/10 **move [1]** 33/16 Leo [1] 2/2 p.m [3] 1/8 4/1 37/12 Mr. [10] liable [3] 8/7 27/12 27/20 P.O [1] 2/4 Mr. Best [1] 35/4 liked [1] 32/18 page [5] 8/18 10/18 10/19 19/5 30/8 Mr. Boies [1] 24/23 limit [1] 30/8 page 1375 [1] 19/5 Mr. Cuban [4] 12/15 15/12 28/11 limited [1] 17/23 page 18 [1] 10/18 link [1] 9/10 page 35 [1] 8/18 Mr. Moskowitz [4] 11/5 15/22 24/22 literally [3] 15/10 23/18 30/11 29/10 page 6 [1] 10/19 LLP [3] 2/7 2/10 3/7 MTD [1] 10/18 pages [1] 13/20 local [2] 18/16 36/6 myriad [1] 8/13 papers [4] 6/6 10/2 20/21 22/6 localized [1] 19/23 Paralegal [1] 2/3 lost [2] 24/14 37/9 part [6] 7/20 20/1 22/24 22/25 30/18 Louisiana [1] 5/20 name [1] 22/25 30/21 love [1] 18/19 named [2] 10/17 10/22 parties [1] 5/16 Luka [3] 20/1 22/23 22/24 partner [2] 19/20 21/22 national [6] 8/21 12/3 12/4 12/6 Luka's [1] 22/25 12/20 17/14 partners [1] 18/17 lunch [1] 4/22 nationally [4] 8/14 9/2 15/1 15/2 partnership [3] 16/15 16/18 18/18 nationwide [8] party [4] 7/1 23/19 24/19 24/20 М NBA [5] 14/2 14/5 27/24 35/22 35/23 Passo [1] 2/3 Magic [1] 35/2 pending [3] 5/12 26/9 27/4 **NE [1]** 3/14 main [4] 2/10 7/17 7/17 21/23 neither [1] 5/25 Pennsylvania [1] 5/21 major [2] 6/18 6/22 Network [1] 36/15 people [29] MARK [10] percent [5] 24/13 24/14 24/15 24/16 New Jersey [22] Mark's [1] 22/4 New York [5] 24/3 24/4 24/5 24/6 31/12 market [11] 26/14 Perfect [1] 34/3 marketing [3] 17/17 18/23 19/13 News [1] 18/6 period [1] 20/18 marketplace [2] 9/14 18/5 nice [1] 22/14 personal [9] Massachusetts [1] 5/21 **non** [1] 36/6 perspective [1] 9/13 massive [1] 16/14 non-Dallas [1] 36/6 persuade [1] 29/8 matter [2] 7/1 37/22 none [3] 5/25 15/8 31/3 Phang [1] 3/10 Mavericks [24] **nonparty** [1] 7/13 phrase [1] 8/5 Mavericks' [4] 9/7 9/9 12/5 16/8 note [1] 25/11 picked [1] 36/8 Mavs [3] 20/1 20/7 30/13 notice [2] 24/21 26/15 piece [1] 24/6 Mavs' [6] 14/25 19/21 30/15 30/19 place [2] 7/11 28/13 notified [1] 24/22 30/24 31/9 **notion [1]** 12/8 plaintiff [4] 4/7 5/17 21/13 36/25 maximized [1] 17/15 November [1] 34/11 plaintiffs [14] McCarter [1] 27/24 plaintiffs' [3] 5/14 12/4 28/8 number [9] mean [11] Number 189 [1] 5/14 plan [1] 9/21 means [1] 24/14 Number 195 [1] 8/17 planning [1] 18/20 mechanical [1] 1/24 Number 231 [1] 5/15 plans [1] 17/17 media [3] 8/13 10/8 19/4 platform [2] 31/6 35/5 Number 41 [1] 10/18 mediation [1] 29/16 numbers [2] 10/11 16/24 play [2] 35/25 35/25 message [1] 21/3 played [2] 34/19 35/2 **NW [1]** 3/7 **MIAMI** [12] players [4] 19/25 20/2 20/8 20/11 Migdal [1] 2/13 playing [1] 36/2 miles [1] 17/21 O'Neal [1] 27/15 Plaza [1] 2/16 Miller [1] 19/4 OCTOBER [4] 4/1 13/6 30/17 34/23 pleads [1] 5/17 Miller vs. Gizmodo [1] 19/4 October 27th [3] 13/6 30/17 34/23 plenty [1] 16/1 million [1] 24/9 offer [1] 32/6 plethora [1] 20/17 millions [3] 8/13 17/1 21/5 PLLC [1] 2/16 offering [1] 32/3 minimum [1] 19/8 Official [2] 3/13 37/24 **plurality [2]** 14/9 14/10 minor [1] 6/20 offramp [1] 32/5 point [8] miscite [1] 16/25 **Oh [1]** 13/14 points [1] 29/17

**Monday [1]** 4/23

P release [2] 9/25 16/11 sic [1] 28/12 relevant [3] 9/14 34/18 36/4 position [1] 10/20 relied [2] 9/8 10/8 posts [1] 8/13 remaining [2] 6/1 24/6 **PR [1]** 9/25 remember [5] 12/14 13/3 13/4 14/5 press [24] 35/3 problem [2] 33/22 33/23 rep's [1] 21/11 proceeding [1] 23/22 reply [5] 31/22 33/20 34/8 34/8 34/12 proceedings [3] 1/24 37/12 37/21 reporter [4] 3/13 3/13 18/6 37/24 process [2] 19/9 28/24 reporters [2] 17/14 17/14 produced [1] 1/24 requirements [1] 28/17 product [4] 10/13 12/21 27/16 35/13 residents [4] 8/17 9/6 9/8 12/8 products [1] 9/7 respectfully [2] 6/24 29/14 program [1] 31/6 respective [2] 5/22 8/1 promote [1] 31/4 response [10] promoted [3] 27/16 27/17 35/11 restricted [2] 16/16 17/21 promoters [1] 34/20 result [2] 17/22 22/17 promotes [1] 8/6 revised [1] 26/16 promoting [2] 19/19 35/8 reward [2] 12/6 30/24 promotion [6] 13/8 15/15 18/4 35/3 rivals [1] 35/24 35/8 35/9 **RKA [1]** 1/4 promotional [4] 13/5 28/14 28/14 RMR [2] 3/13 37/24 31/10 RMR-CRR [1] 37/24 promotions [2] 8/12 8/15 Rockets' [1] 21/20 prosecuted [1] 7/10 round [1] 29/9 protection [1] 7/25 route [1] 26/20 state [18] **prove [1]** 27/3 Roy [1] 1/14 **public [1]** 9/18 **Rudnick [2]** 3/7 5/2 **publicity [1]** 19/12 rule [7] 21/19 27/6 28/16 28/18 29/4 purchasers [1] 10/9 32/22 32/23 purposeful [3] 9/12 12/22 36/22 Rule 23 [1] 27/6 purposefully [1] 17/6 ruled [1] 28/1 28/4 **purposely [1]** 17/8 ruling [5] 16/2 27/25 32/13 33/18 pursuant [1] 37/19 37/9 S question [15] Sachs [2] 3/6 5/8 question's [1] 21/12 Salopek [3] 3/13 37/23 37/24 questions [6] 5/23 11/5 16/3 20/4 San [1] 14/4 27/9 27/10 San Antonio [1] 14/4 quick [1] 34/15 saw [2] 9/16 21/9 quickly [3] 16/6 27/11 32/18 Schiller [3] 2/7 2/10 4/14 quoting [1] 10/18 screen [1] 36/16 **SE [1]** 2/7

Rachel [2] 3/6 5/2 reach [7] 16/20 17/18 20/4 20/6 20/18 21/18 21/24 reached [2] 21/2 23/15 reaching [1] 21/5 read [2] 19/19 20/23 ready [1] 4/3 realize [1] 23/13 rebuild [1] 27/2 received [4] 12/6 24/13 30/15 31/9 recipients [1] 30/24 record [1] 37/21 recorded [1] 1/24 recused [1] 22/17 refine [2] 29/17 30/8 refining [1] 29/25 **Rejane** [1] 2/3 rejected [1] 19/7 relations [1] 9/19

searchable [1] 27/1 second [5] 9/20 10/13 11/16 12/12 seconds [1] 30/14 **Section [1]** 37/19 securities [16] security [2] 7/5 8/6 seeking [1] 33/14 seller [4] 8/6 27/7 27/15 28/19 send [1] 9/25 sense [3] 12/20 29/4 29/7 served [1] 10/11 setting [1] 30/10 settle [1] 27/23 settled [2] 26/14 28/4 settlement [1] 26/18 **Shaquille [1]** 27/15 share [1] 24/24 shown [3] 14/23 36/9 36/21

side [2] 25/11 36/11 sign [2] 14/9 14/25 signage [3] 35/12 36/7 36/20 signed [8] simple [1] 27/20 situation [1] 35/21 smaller [1] 14/2 **social [1]** 8/13 solicitation [3] 28/9 28/17 30/23 sorts [2] 31/2 31/11 Sound [1] 34/12 sounds [1] 34/2 **South [1]** 3/10 **SOUTHERN [5]** 1/2 6/15 24/4 24/5 specific [4] 11/7 13/23 16/2 23/5 spoken [1] 29/9 Sponsorship [2] 13/15 18/2 Spurs [1] 14/4 stadium [1] 16/17 stage [3] 12/13 14/15 27/21 stale [1] 26/4 **standing [1]** 9/2 starting [1] 4/23 **state's [2]** 5/22 6/18 statement [4] 13/6 13/7 14/24 17/3 statements [2] 10/25 11/21 states [13] statute [6] 6/8 7/9 7/16 27/12 27/16 **statutes [5]** 6/17 6/18 6/21 6/22 8/3 statutory [3] 6/8 27/7 28/19 **stay [1]** 23/14 stayed [2] 5/12 7/20 stenography [1] 1/24 Stephen [3] 2/6 3/5 5/2 Steve [7] 4/13 18/7 21/9 23/3 26/8 26/17 27/14 stock [2] 10/8 26/13 straight [1] 27/21 strategies [1] 31/9 Street [5] 2/7 2/10 2/13 3/7 3/14 **strictly** [1] 8/6 struggling [1] 31/25 subclass [1] 5/22 **submitted** [1] 13/19 subpoena [1] 10/12 subset [1] 12/7 success [3] 16/22 17/19 18/3 successful [6] 20/24 28/8 28/9 28/17 30/23 31/23 sued [2] 7/20 9/18 sufficient [4] 9/3 11/9 12/22 19/8 Suite [5] 2/8 2/14 2/16 3/8 3/11 summary [2] 15/9 19/17 Sunshine [1] 36/15 supplemental [1] 25/2 supporting [1] 15/8 Supreme [1] 21/10 **SW [1]** 2/13 system [1] 25/3

Т	Understood [1] 12/10	
	uniformly [1] 19/7	
take [3] 19/19 22/15 33/8	UNITED [8]	
talking [8]	universe [1] 25/7	
talks [1] 15/11	unless [1] 28/13	
target [6] 9/20 9/21 9/22 9/24 17/12	unregistered [3] 7/5 8/6 27/8	
17/13	unwieldy [1] 23/16	
targeted [9]		
targeting [4] 11/7 12/16 13/23 17/6	us [7] 6/13 10/12 23/15 28/2 28/2	
team's [1] 14/5	30/9 34/11	
teams [5] 35/8 35/19 35/22 35/24	V	
36/2		
tee [1] 17/15	veil [1] 33/1	
	Victor [1] 3/10	
televised [2] 8/14 8/15	video [1] 5/9	
tell [4] 10/12 17/16 17/16 28/25	view [1] 29/10	
telling [1] 15/10	viewed [1] 8/16	
Tennessee [1] 5/21	Virginia [1] 5/20	
term [1] 27/1	virtue [1] 26/1	
terms [1] 9/12		
Texas [3] 13/7 14/2 23/6	voluminous [1] 26/2	
Thank [11]	Voyager [49]	
Thanks [1] 37/7	vs. [3] 4/5 10/19 19/4	
theory [2] 8/11 35/4	W	
think [27]	waiting [2] 24/10 28/1	
thinks [1] 33/6	Washington [1] 3/8	
Thirteenth [1] 3/7	watch [1] 21/5	
thought [1] 25/20	watched [4] 10/6 10/7 22/19 35/9	
thousand [1]  12/7	watching [4] 21/7 21/8 36/3 36/14	
thousands [1] 9/11	week [1] 26/17	
three years [1] 26/23	weeks [4] 15/13 26/17 34/1 34/2	
Tifford [2] 3/2 5/6		
tight [1] 30/8	White [2] 3/3 5/6	
time [7] 16/12 16/13 16/14 32/25	who's [5] 4/7 4/25 6/2 9/18 28/21	
33/25 34/7 34/9	widely [1] 9/1	
	Wiesinger [1] 2/2	
Title [1] 37/20	wish [1] 22/20	
tokens [2] 31/3 31/5	<b>WL [1]</b> 10/19	
top [1] 13/18	Wolkinson [2] 3/6 5/2	
tout [1] 16/23	won [2] 22/20 37/8	
touting [1] 18/8	wonderful [1] 19/17	
towards [1] 35/24	word [3] 8/4 15/15 19/19	
Tower [1] 3/11		
transcript [4] 1/13 1/24 13/19 37/21	words [1] 16/10	
treat [1] 6/5	work [1] 19/18	
trial [1] 4/23	worked [5] 10/5 16/24 17/3 17/20	
trouble [1] 29/22	25/15	
	working [3] 5/15 25/5 25/20	
trove [2] 26/2 28/5	works [1] 25/3	
true [5] 14/13 14/14 14/21 14/22	world [3] 16/21 19/20 20/15	
37/20	worldwide [2] 17/2 19/24	
truth [1] 10/5	worry [1] 11/9	
TUESDAY [1] 3/17	worth [2] 14/16 24/9	
Turner [1] 3/9	write [2] 23/18 23/19	
TV [2] 35/8 35/18		
TVs [1] 36/21	wrong [2] 13/18 33/6	
tweet [3] 19/7 35/21 35/22	wrote [1] 25/7	
tweet [3] 10/1 13/10 17/16	Y	
two weeks [1] 26/17	-	
	<b>year's [1]</b> 14/16	
two years [3] 22/4 22/8 32/12	York [6] 2/11 24/3 24/4 24/5 24/6	
Tyler [2] 2/6 4/12	26/14	
typical [1] 27/6		
11	Z	
U	Zack [2] 2/6 4/13	
Ulrich [2] 2/6 4/13	Zoom [2] 4/13 4/20	
uncontradicted [1] 31/7		
understand [1] 9/15		